

NSW RPA System Best Practice Examples The RPA Submission Form

This fact sheet is a part of a series of best practice examples to guide NDIS Service Providers on comprehensively completing the requirements in the NSW RPA System. These examples will demonstrate how information must be accurately and succinctly documented in order to produce a quality and effective product that is fit for purpose.

This particular example will focus on what is required in **sections 4**, **5 and 6** of the RPA Submission Form with a particular focus on **Chemical Restraint**. *The remaining sections have not been highlighted in this document as they are largely prepopulated in the NSW RPA System.

Part 2 of the NSW (FACS) RPA System User Guide provides instructions on how to complete a Submission Form in the NSW RPA System. It is recommended that this fact sheet is read in conjunction with this User Guide. The User Guide can be found here: https://www.facs.nsw.gov.au/providers/deliver-disability-services/restrictive-practices-authorisation-portal/nsw-rpa-system-access/user-guide

The RPA Submission Form

A restrictive practice constitutes a breach of the person's human rights, therefore its proposed use needs to be well evidenced and supported by a collection of required documents.

The purpose of the Submission Form is to provide the RPA Panel with a **summary** of essential information proposing the use of the practice and how the person will be effectively supported. It is **not** intended that applicants replicate sections from either the functional assessment report or the behaviour support plan.

It is important to provide information to assist the RPA Panel to understand the key issues for the person, with regards to the behaviour of concern and the practice being proposed to manage the associated risk.

The below example *Submission Forms* are shown in the *'print'* view of the RPA Submission form. This view is located at the bottom of the screen within the NSW RPA System.



NSW RPA System Best Practice Examples The RPA Submission Form

Restrictive Practices Authorisation

Section 4 of the RPA Submission Form

4. Submission Accompanied By

All submissions for planned (full) authorisation require a:

• behaviour support plan,

Family & Community

- functional assessment of behaviour, and
- one page profile.

If requesting interim authorisation, only an interim behaviour support plan is required.

| GOVERNMENT SERVICES | | Submission Type: Planned |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | |
| NDIS Participant Details | | |
| Given Name Mary | Middle Name J | |
| Family Name Bond | | |
| NDIS Participant ID 2365982156 | Date of Birth 7/12/2018 | |
| Address 26 Piccadilly Crescent | | |
| Suburb GOSFORD | State NSW | Postcode 2250 |

| Submission Accompanied By | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Document Type | File Name | Title | | |
| Behaviour Support Plan | Behaviour Support Plan - M. Bond May (2019).docx | Behaviour Support Plan - Mary Bond | | |
| Functional Analysis of Behaviour | Behaviour Assessment Report - M. Bond Feb (2019).docx | Behaviour Assessment Report - Mary Bond | | |
| One Page Profile of the Participant | One Page Profile MBond - April 2019.docx | 'Hi I'm Mary' | | |
| Medical Report | Psychiatrist Report - M Bond May (2019).docx | Consultation Report - Mary Bond | | |
| Information on Side Effects, Symptoms of Overdose | Medication consumer info.docx | Consumer Information - Diazepam | | |
| PRN Protocol | PRN excerpt from BSP.docx | Response Strategies | | |
| Other (specify) | Training attandance sheet MBond.docx | Training Attendance | | |
| Other (specify) | Bond, M - Medication chart.pdf | Medication Chart | | |

In this example, there are additional supporting documents required for Chemical Restraint:

- medical report (preferably from the prescribing health professional),
- information regarding side effects, symptoms of overdose, and
- medication chart.



NSW RPA System Best Practice Examples The RPA Submission Form

Section 5 of the RPA Submission Form

5. Summary of Targeted Behaviour of Concern

A person may engage in a range of behaviours, however not all of these will be addressed by a restrictive practice. Only those behaviours which necessitate a restrictive practice to manage a risk (s), need to be entered in the *Summary of Targeted Behaviour of Concern*.

This section provides a summary of the key areas for consideration by a RPA Panel when determining if the implementation of a restrictive practice should be supported. The impact of the behaviour on the person's quality of life, and the risks to the person of not using the strategy, are essential considerations which are often not described within implementation strategies.

| Summary of Targeted B | In this example, Chemica restraint is used to mana targeted behaviour of co | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|
| Туре | Physical aggression – other people | i.e. physical aggression to thers. | |
| Description of Behaviour | When Mary believes others have criticised, go can become aggressive towards those around community. Recently Mary scratched, hit and in the newsagent. This resulted in both the pocalled to tend to the man and the incident. O include throwing a coffee cup at a co-resident causing a gash that required 3 stitches. | d her including in the pushed over a male customer police and the ambulance being other examples of the behaviour | |
| Background | The physical aggression began approximately 2 years ago, shortly after Mary's mother passed away, she was very close to her mother. Within a few months her father ceased visiting and has refused to talk to Mary over the phone. Prior to this Mary would have the occasional outburst of emotional distress however these did not escalate to physical aggression. | | |
| Impact on Quality of Life | The use of aggression towards others has resulted in Mary being 'bann from a few of her favourite places by shop owners (Deja Brew, Campbe Newsagent and Eddies Corner Shop). Her relationship with her co-resid and colleagues at the workshop have deteriorated and Mary seems distressed and unhappy most of the time. | | |
| Identified Issues/Risk | Mary is at risk of further exclusion from service police cautioned Mary after the incident in the indicated they may charge her if it happens a accommodation placement is at risk. Others (and the community) are also at risk of needin Mary engage in physical aggression toward the | ne newsagent but have gain. Her current (including co-residents, staff, ng medical attention should | |
| | indicated they may charge her if it happens a accommodation placement is at risk. Others (and the community) are also at risk of needin | gain. Her c (including c ig medical a | |

age the ncern toward



process by which the restrictive practice will be

withdrawn.

NSW RPA System Best Practice Examples The RPA Submission Form

Section 6 of the RPA Submission Form

6. Summary of Proposed Restrictive Practices

The purpose of this section is to provide a rationale for the use of the restrictive practice, the intended outcomes and how implementation will be both supported and monitored by key supports.

Proposed Restrictive Practices Restricted Practice Category Chemical Restraint **Service Setting** Accommodation setting The description **Behaviour of Concern** Physical aggression - other people should include when and how Description of proposed The psychiatrist has prescribed the use of 5mg Diazepam for when Mary shows signs of distress that have not responded to her usual positive practice/strategy the practice is behaviour support strategies, such as listening to her favourite song, doing to be a colouring in activity, or using the massage chair. PRN is to be offered early implemented. in the escalation of the behaviours. Early warning signs for Mary include starting to rock back and forth repeatedly, yelling and swearing. Staff should follow the PRN Protocol for dosage instruction and maximum amount to be given in 24 hours. **Expected outcomes related to the** The use of the medication is intended to decrease the amount of emotional proposed practice / Strategy distress Mary may feel under particular circumstances, and is expected to Make note of help Mary to not escalate to physical aggression towards others. The effect on behaviour is expected to be observed within 30-60 minutes of medication administration. To date the use of redirection strategies alone have not been effective. Mary Rationale for the use of the proposed practice / Strategy

Consider both short term and long term outcomes, in relation to both the participant and others.

whether any other less restrictive options have been considered, and if so, why these were deemed not suitable.

has limited self-regulation skills and may struggle when she experiences emotional distress. The use of a small dose of medication to help Mary to calm will assist in preventing further physical aggression, and the associated reduction of opportunities and experiences result when Mary demonstrates this behaviour. Behaviour support practitioner The practitioner conducted a half day workshop to train staff to implement support / staff training required the BSP. This had two focus areas: facilitated an understanding of how the different strategies are intended to work together; and to increase the consistency of implementation of the BSP. Schedule of reviews for the Monthly proposed practice / Strategy Notes Monthly monitoring by the practitioner will focus on staff's consistency of implementation. Mary's progress in terms of learning new coping skills to address the behaviour, and the frequency of the aggression will also be monitored . **Fadeout strategies** Review summaries that include an overview of Mary's progress regarding the behaviour support strategies will be provided to the prescribing psychiatrist. Once the PRN is not used for 6 months consultation with the Each proposed restrictive psychiatrist will include discussion and planning regarding the removal of the medication. practice must demonstrate a clear

Indicate how the training will be conducted on implementation of the restrictive practice.

The schedule of review should reflect how frequently the use of the practice will be reviewed by the support network (including the Practitioner).