

Submission from the NSW Carers Advisory Council

The NSW Carers Advisory Council writes to you in regards to the NDIA Consultation on *Access and Eligibility Policy for Independent Assessments* that is to be rolled out during 2021.

The Council provides advice to the NSW Government on legislation, policy and other matters relating to carers. For more information about the Council and its members, the majority of whom are carers, please visit the Council website: https://www.facs.nsw.gov.au/inclusion/advisory-councils/carers

While Council acknowledges that there have been some positive experiences from the Independent Assessment pilots for both carers and participants, the Council is very concerned that carers' needs are not sufficiently taken into account, particularly in some aspects of the assessment framework.

The Council is also really concerned at the narrowness of the consultation questions in the Independent Assessment consultation paper. The questions do not address many of the issues of concern, particularly about the impact for carers.

The Council has provided feedback on the consultation questions, but in addition to the above concerns, also notes:

- While the first pilot indicated that 91% of those who received an independent
 assessment were satisfied or very satisfied. Council is extremely concerned at this
 response as it seems that only 28% of all who received an independent assessment
 responded to the evaluation survey. Council would prefer to see a higher level of
 confidence in the independent assessment with increased numbers of responses
 providing greater statistical reliability.
- Council is concerned that while it is good that "Independent assessments will be
 done by trained experts, for example occupational therapists, physiotherapists,
 psychologists and other health and allied health professionals", this will exacerbate
 the shortage of allied health professionals, particularly in regional and remote areas.
 If a large number take up the role of independent assessors they will not be available
 to provide hands-on support for clients or even worse, there would be a conflict of
 interest if they attempt to do both. If there is less allied health support, the workload
 for carers increases.
- It appears that the functional assessment process will determine the amount of
 money allocated to a person's plan. This will happen before any planning meeting. It
 seems that plans will now need to fit into the allocated budget rather than
 determining a budget to fit in with a plan. The document "Plan flexibility and budget
 planning" states "Your independent assessment will be an important part of your

plan, and will change how we build your personalised plan budget. Instead of creating a plan that has funding based on individual items or supports, your budget will be closely matched to your functional capacity and the impact of your environment, based on the information in your independent assessment". Council is very concerned that the independent assessment does not formally take into account the amount of informal support that the carer is able (or willing) to provide.

- The needs of carers change as they age and this needs to be considered in relation to IA. As carers age their capacity to care for their adult children with disabilities decreases, so more support is needed to mitigate this decline in carer ability. This can result in a significant plan change, but not one that is driven by changes in the participant's needs.

Following are the Council responses to the consultation questions

Consultation Question	Comment
Question 1: What will people who apply for the NDIS need to know about the independent assessments process? How this information is best provided?	 Among the information that will be needed are the following: That the assessors are experienced, skilled and have received an appropriate level of training in the assessments. That (as proposed by the NDIA) the psychosocial assessments are done by self-nominating assessors who have lived experience or specific skills with this target group. Information should be developed that is targeted to both potential participants and their carers. Clear information about eligibility is also needed – for all groups of people (disability, psychosocial and chronic, acute or palliative health conditions).
Question 3: How can we clarify evidence requirements from health professionals about a person's disability and whether or not it	NDIS created new terms and language that is not in common use outside of NDIS. This can result in evidence, for example provided by doctors, not being written in language that complies with NDIS evidence, despite the evidence clearly

is, or is likely to be, permanent and life long?

- demonstrating the disability and permanent and life-long impact.
- The evidence they are required to provide should be easy to complete and straightforward.

Question 4:

How should we make the distinction between disability and chronic, acute or palliative health conditions clearer?

- The NDIA consultation paper: Access and Eligibility Policy with Independent assessments" on page 9 (2.2.2) outlines in S 2.2.2 the steps that will be undertaken for people to enter into the NDIS. It indicates there will "also be clearer guidance for all applicants on what defines a permanent disability requiring support under the NDIS. This guidance will:
 - outline what we need from medical professionals to understand the permanence of a person's disability
 - provide detail on the most appropriate treatment system for health conditions
 - clearly deal with the issues arising from the functional capacity impacts of chronic, acute and terminal health conditions."

This is a really critical consideration for Council. Council is concerned that some groups of people with chronic illness are missing out on the NDIS as the impact of their health conditions on their functional capacity is not being appropriately assessed. This is increasing demands on carers' time, responsibilities and finances. Current research being undertaken by the NSW Carers Advisory Council provide real examples of this. This research shows that only 13 % of individuals with Cystic Fibrosis are accessing the NDIS. They or their carers are now frequently having to directly fund daily physiotherapy services for lung clearance, at significant expense (or the carer is struggling to provide the physiotherapy themselves). These services were previously available and subsidised under Home Care.

Council also considers that the most important thing to identify is the impact of a person's disability on their core functions, NOT the cause of their disability. It does not matter if the cause of an individual's disability is a chronic health condition or another disability, provided this results in a significant adverse impact on an individual's core functioning capacity eg their capacity to communicate, to participate in community life and/or their mobility. This impact may be constant and continuous or may fluctuate over time due to acute episodes of an acute illness or disability.

During a person's lifetime they may have different needs. Eg it be discovered that you have Autism Spectrum Disorder 2 which requires OT support, so you might then need an assessment. As you age issues may further develop around your speech which has become harder to understand so you need Speech therapy. All of these will change your plan.

Question 5 Council is concerned that independent assessors must be sympathetic to carers who are under undue stress, and that What are the traits and skills they understand trauma-informed practice so that carers are that you most want in an not penalised for their advocacy. assessor? Other desirable skills of assessors should include: Empathy > Lived experience Training > Understanding of the assessments they are giving Acknowledging the families and carers as holders of key information Cultural respect - the independent assessment needs to understand and respect the CALD community as there are different cultural customs in many different CALD communities and this needs to be understood and accommodated. Cultural respect for caring in Aboriginal communities Cultural capability training Question 6 Carers of people with psycho-social conditions know that it takes time and multiple visits to develop trust between a client What makes this process the and professional. A one off assessment by a stranger who most accessible that it can be? does not know a person will not be comprehensive or elicit the For example, is it by holding necessary information. People with psycho-social conditions the assessment in your home? also sometimes exclude their carers from assessments. Appropriate guidelines are required to support these carers, and skilled assessors. It has been mentioned that in the proposed process phone assessments will be an option of undergoing an Independent Assessment. Council believes that phone assessments may not easily accommodate carer input. Phone planning meetings were discontinued by NDIA as they were not satisfactory. Information regarding IA timeframes must be well defined with a service guarantee and must include all these stages: turnaround waiting time for an assessment appointment; > actual time to have the assessment and report completed: when NDIS and potential participant and family are notified of the outcome. We are aware of a positive experience of a carer involved in the IA pilot. They reported a positive experience with being assessed at home "We did our pilot via Telehealth with the camera off till (daughter) felt safe. He wasn't in her space. And she could stay home. Was good for her." Question 7 Other ways to promote cultural safety and inclusion include: Codesign with young Aboriginal people who have How can we ensure psychosocial disabilities

Codesign with LGBTIQ+ community

Codesign with CALD communityTrain all staff - not just once but often

independent assessments are

delivered in a way that

considers and promotes cultural safety and inclusion?	
Question 8: What are the limited circumstances which may lead to a person not needing to complete an independent assessment?	 A simple solution for eligibility and access for NDIS funding (for some participants) may be through the Disability Support Pension. Ie. Someone with a very severe life time disability should not have to go through unnecessary, costly and potentially stressful additional assessments once they've been approved for DSP. The main thing that should prompt an additional assessment would be advice from the care recipient or carer that the person with a disability's needs have changed. A carer's comment: "I was wondering about the Disability Support Pensions and the Independent Assessments. I know that when my son was eligible to apply for the DSP - I was told he would never have to be assessed again due to the severity of the disability. Surely, this can also be the approach of the NDIA and possibly the assessment done by one Govt agency (Centrelink) should be applicable to other Govt agencies. I know this only means it is applicable for people of a certain age and perhaps a certain severity but it could be worth progressing as a thought." There should be exceptions to the IA process for known disability types that have demonstrated history of severe complex disability.
Question 9: How can we best monitor the quality of independent assessments being delivered and ensure the process is meeting participant expectations?	• The <u>IA consultation paper</u> on page 23 states that "Independent assessment results themselves will not be directly reviewable by the AAT Disagreeing with the results of an otherwise sound and robust independent assessment is not sufficient for the NDIA to fund another assessment. Applicants can only seek a second assessment where the assessment was not consistent with the independent assessment framework, or if the applicant has had a significant change to their functional capacity or circumstances." The Council is concerned that this will put carers in a very difficult position if they feel that the IA process does not reflect the actual situation for the person with the disability or their role as the carer. It is fair and reasonable that there is a mechanism for a carer to request a review.
Comments	 Please see a range of comments in the introductory comments above this table.

On behalf of the NSW Carers Advisory Council and carers across NSW, I ask that you review our feedback taking into consideration needs and the role of carers. We also give permission for our submission to be published.

If you wish to discuss this further, I can be contacted on Ph: 0408 020 904 or at NSWCarersAdvisoryCouncilSecretariat@facs.nsw.gov.au

Yours Sincerely

Prue Warrilow Chair – NSW Carers Advisory Council